PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

REC'D 17 JAN 2005

WIPO PC

BA

see for Internation PCT/JF Internation B65D1 Applican THE C	see form PCT/ISA/220 Int's or agent's file reference rm PCT/ISA/220 Into all application No. P2004/014813 Into all Patent Classification (IPC) or be 1/02, B29C49/00 Interpretation COMPANY This opinion contains indication		Date of mailing (day/month/year) s FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 be	
see for Internation PCT/JF Internation B65D1 Applican THE C	onal application No. P2004/014813 onal Patent Classification (IPC) or b //02, B29C49/00 ont COCA-COLA COMPANY	30.09.2004 both national classification	(day/month/year) s FOR FURTHER See paragraph 2 be	Priority date (day/month/year)
see for Internation PCT/JF Internation B65D1 Applican THE C	onal application No. P2004/014813 onal Patent Classification (IPC) or b //02, B29C49/00 ont COCA-COLA COMPANY	30.09.2004 both national classification	See paragraph 2 be	Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/JF Internation B65D1 Applican THE C 1. TI	P2004/014813 onal Patent Classification (IPC) or b /02, B29C49/00 ont OCA-COLA COMPANY	30.09.2004 both national classification		
Applican THE C 1. TI	nt OCA-COLA COMPANY		and IPC	
1. TI	OCA-COLA COMPANY	and relating to the following		
	his opinion contains indication	and relating to the fell		
2. Fi when the line we life some	Box No. II Priority Box No. III Non-establishm Box No. IV Lack of unity of Box No. V Reasoned state applicability; cit Box No. VI Certain docume Box No. VII Certain defects Box No. VIII Certain observations URTHER ACTION a demand for international prelimiten opinion of the International explicant chooses an Authoring applicant chooses and Authoring in the International Bureau under Rule will not be so considered.	inion nent of opinion with reg f invention ement under Rule 43bis tations and explanation ents cited s in the international appations on the internation iminary examination is al Preliminary Examinin ity other than this one to 66.1 bis(b) that written of	ard to novelty, invense. s.1(a)(i) with regard to supporting such stoplication made, this opinion was a supporting this opinion was and the IPEA and the opinions of this Interpopriate, with amendation.	vill usually be considered to be a . However, this does not apply where he chosen IPEA has notifed the
	for further options, see Form PC for further details, see notes to F			

Name and mailing address of the ISA:

Authorized Officer

<u>)</u>

European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Vesterholm, M

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2541



WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/014813

	Box	No. I Basis of the opinion						
1.		Vith regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in ne language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.						
	j	This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following anguage , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).						
2.		regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and ssary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:						
	a. typ	pe of material:						
		a sequence listing						
		table(s) related to the sequence listing						
	b. for	mat of material:						
		in written format						
		in computer readable form						
	c. tim	ne of filing/furnishing:						
		contained in the international application as filed.						
		filed together with the international application in computer readable form.						
		furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.						
3.	 	In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished.						

4. Additional comments:

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/JP2004/014813

	Box	No. II	Priority							
1.	□ The following document has not been furnished:									
		\boxtimes	☑ copy of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 66.7(a)).							
			translation of the earlier application whose priority has been claimed (Rule 43bis.1 and 66.7(b)).							
		Consecution neverth	quently it has not be neless been establis	een possib shed on th	le to consi e assumpt	der the validity of the p on that the relevant da	riority claim. This opinion has ate is the claimed priority date.			
2.		This opinion has been established as if no priority had been claimed due to the fact that the priority claim has been found invalid (Rules 43 <i>bis</i> .1 and 64.1). Thus for the purposes of this opinion, the international filing date indicated above is considered to be the relevant date.								
3.	It has not been possible to consider the validity of the priority claim because a copy of the priority document was not available to the ISA at the time that the search was conducted (Rule 17.1). This opinion has nevertheless been established on the assumption that the relevant date is the claimed priority date.									
4.	Add	litional c	observations, if nece	essary:						
_	D	- 11 - 14	D		- D I- 40	45-44-101				
		No. V ustrial		ment und ons and e	er Rule 43 explanatio	ns supporting such s	d to novelty, inventive step or statement			
1.	Stat	tement								
	3 ()			Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-5				
	1 \ - /			Yes: No:	: Claims Claims	1-5				
				Yes: No:	Claims Claims	1-5				
2.	Cita	ntions ar	nd explanations							
	see	separa	ate sheet							
	ė			-						
	Box	No. VI	II Certain defects	in the int	ernationa	Lannlication				

Form PCT/ISA/237 (January 2004)

see separate sheet

Re Item V.

1. The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: EP-A-0 742 153 (PEPSICO INC) 13 November 1996

2. Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses (the references in parenthesis applying to this document):

Plastic bottles (10) composed of a neck (11), a shoulder (12), a body (13) and a bottom (14) (see Fig. 1).

From this, the subject-matter of independent claim 1 differs in that: the cross-sectional shape at the body is a regular polygon, the number of angles of which is an even number of not less than 4 nor more than 32; each angle of the polygon is rounded off by an arc whose radius is not longer than half the radius of a circle circumscribed about the cross-sectional shape at body; cross-sectional shapes have the same shape at any portion of body; circles circumscribed about the cross-sectional shapes are identical with one another; the circumscribed circles have a center on the vertical central axis of body; and the cross-sectional shape of body rotates around said central axis in proportion to height along said central axis.

- 2.1 The subject-matter of claim 1 is therefore novel (Article 33(2) PCT)

 The problem to be solved by the present invention may be regarded as:
 another structure for a plastic container which maintains its form despite pressure changes in the container.
- 2.2 The solution to this problem proposed in claim 1 of the present application is considered as involving an inventive step (Article 33(3) PCT) because the prior art does not disclose any containers with a similar wall structure as claimed, nor does the prior art render such wall structure obvious.
- 2.3 Claims 2-5 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step.

WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/014813

Re Item VII.

- 3. Although claim 1 is drafted in the two-part form some of its features are incorrectly placed in the characterising portion, as they are disclosed in document D1 (see Item V, 2.) in combination with the features placed in the preamble (Rule 6.3(b) PCT).
- 4. The features of the claims are not provided with reference signs placed in parentheses (Rule 6.2(b) PCT).
- 5. Contrary to the requirements of Rule 5.1(a)(ii) PCT, the relevant background art disclosed in the document D1 is not mentioned in the description, nor is this document identified therein.